

Appendix 7 Comments that are relevant to the Neighbourhood Plan

Commentary on public responses to Regulation 14 consultation

COMMENTS AND ISSUES RAISED **THAT MAY IMPACT ON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN POLICIES**

Survey reference	Comment by Steering group	Should policies be changed
C127	Need to examine dark sky issues to see if they should be incorporated in to the plan	No
C126	The Neighbourhood Plan will not be promoting land allocations. Economic policies at ENC address commercial development	No
C175	There are no growth proposals for Stanwick therefore not relevant to express in terms of percentage of population	No
C208,C218	Stanwick Housing Needs and Mix Requirements Assessment (March 2016) (ENC) identifies types of housing shortfall. Policies require these deficit to be addressed	No
C228	A policy is not required to address 'granny annexes' as higher level polices already exist to cover this option	No
C244	The Steering Group has not called for sites to be proposed as this is covered by the SHLAA and Stanwick does not have a housing target	No
C279	The policy HSG3 does not expressly prohibit garden developments. Higher level planning policy governs garden developments. Clarification should be sought from ENC on this wording	No
C333	Need to rectify housing type deficit covered in policy HSG1	No
C417	Suggested wording change should be considered by the steering group	No
C454,C472,C527	It is prohibited to request S106 monies for developments in other parishes	No
C513	Respondent suggests wording change – needs to be examined by steering group	No
C522	Suggestion to include Chelveston Road – needs to be examined by steering group	Yes
C566	Respondent suggests wording change – needs to be examined by steering group	No
C668,C674	Strategic gap with Higham Ferrers and Chelveston – needs to be examined by steering group	Yes
C777	Request to remove permitted development rights – this would require a legislative change. Not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan	No

C823	Steering group to discuss	No
C844	needs to be examined by steering group	No

For clarification:

The Steering Group took advice from M Burton at East Northamptonshire Council on wording changes, higher level policies and the appropriateness of adding new policies to the plans.

It was decided to accept advice given by East Northamptonshire Council given their expertise in planning matters.

The Steering Group is satisfied significant changes to the policies are not required as a result of public comments.

Appendix 7 Comments that are not relevant to the Neighbourhood Plan

Commentary on public responses to Regulation 14 consultation

COMMENTS AND ISSUES RAISED THAT ARE **NOT** WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD

Survey reference	Comment by Steering group	Should policies be changed
C353	It is not within the scope of the Neighbourhood Plan require the school to close an access. It may be appropriate for the Parish Council to have a dialogue with the school about this issue	No
C364, C380	It is not within the scope of the Neighbourhood Plan to install traffic lights It may be appropriate for the Parish Council to have a dialogue about this issue	No
C343-C422 generally	It is not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan to introduce traffic calming measures outside of issues created by new developments. It is not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan to consider road widening schemes. This is NCC responsibility. It is not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan to introduce permit parking arrangements	No
C423-C450 generally	It is not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan to introduce traffic calming measures outside of issues created by new developments.	No
C451-C486 generally	It is not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan to introduce traffic calming measures outside of issues created by new developments.	No
C456,C477,C610	It is not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan to negotiate changes to the bus service	No
C454,C472,C527	It is prohibited to request S106 monies for developments in other parishes	No
C487-C538 generally	It is not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan to introduce traffic calming measures outside of issues created by new developments.	No
C539-C576 generally	It is not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan to introduce traffic calming measures outside of issues created by new developments. The A45 is the responsibility of Highways England and is not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan	No
C560, C576	Suggestion re NCC and pavements	No
C577-C625 generally	It is not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan to introduce additional parking outside of issues created by new developments. The Neighbourhood Plan will not make land allocations	No

	Parking spaces cannot be created where space does not exist	
C617	'otherwise justified' comment – needs to be examined by steering group	Possibly
C638,C652	It is not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan	No
C640-C653 generally	The A45 is the responsibility of Highways England and is not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan	No
C645	Suggestion that buses should stop at Stanwick Lakes	No
C691	Should an explanation be in the newsletter?	No
C697,C705,C716	It is not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan to direct provision of medical services etc	No
C726, C727	Explain role of pocket park in newsletter	No
C765	Parish Council to action	No
C761	This needs to be communicated to residents	No
C767	Ask if anyone wants to come forward to work on this in the newsletter	No
C770/C775	Request for plain English	No
C777	Request to remove permitted development rights – this would require a legislative change. Not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan	No
C811	Newsletter explain what the Parish Council has done try and bring about improvements	No
C787-C843 generally	No policy changes required Repetition of comments made else where	No
C853	Legal status of NP needs to be explained in the newsletter/presentation	No

Regulation 14 Statutory Consultees Summary of comment requiring consideration

<p>Wildlife Trust</p> <p>This came in late but has lots of interesting information</p> <p>Para 4 I will ask Mike Burton if we need a ‘definitive inclusion of the’ as these may be covered by higher level planning policy</p> <p>Need to decide if we want to include the maps in our documents We could ‘beef up’ our introductory text to include the points they raise.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Action</p> <p>Para 4 I ask Mike Burton if we need a ‘definitive inclusion of the’ as these may be covered by higher level planning policy</p> <p>Steering group to decide if we want to include the maps in our documents</p> <p>Introductory text to include the points they raise.</p>
---	---

<p>NCC</p> <p>Transport NCC suggests wording changes</p> <p>Our document needs clarification as to where the Raunds Road data was collected.</p> <p>Absence of traffic data from West Street</p> <p>NCC recommend wording change footpath to footway (TRA3)</p> <p>Consider NCCs comment about speeding on Spencer Parade</p> <p>Consider amending parking standards in line with new NCC standards</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Action</p> <p>Wording changes to be implemented (footway)</p> <p>Amend documentation to provide clarity</p> <p>Collect traffic data for West Street</p> <p>Policy to be reword per recommendation</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Policy wording should be amended to state NCC standards are a minimum</p>
---	--

<p>Environment Agency</p> <p>Consider submitting the draft directly to the Lead Local Flood Authority</p> <p>Consider a section on the flood status of Stanwick</p> <p>Consider referring to ground water requirements specified in their response</p> <p>Consider include ‘water efficiency’ into policies</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Action</p> <p>Draft plan should be submitted directly to the Lead Local Flood Authority for comment</p> <p>The Plan should include a section on flood risk</p> <p>Check with ENC policy regarding the need to incorporate ground water requirements</p> <p>Check with ENC policy regarding the need to incorporate water efficiency</p>
---	--

<p>Historic England</p> <p>Consider reviewing information on the suggested web link to see whether there should be any further information added to our reports</p>	<p>Action</p> <p>Review suggested website to see if any further should be incorporated in the Plan</p>
---	--

<p>ENC</p> <p>Recommendations re JCS and numbering should be adopted</p> <p>Recommended additional maps should be included</p>	<p>Action</p> <p>Adopt recommendation</p> <p>Adopt recommendation</p>
--	---

NB Gladmans response not included here

Appendix 9 Steering Group comment on the Reg 14 by Gladman developments Ltd

1.1.4 , 2.2.2 , 2.2.3 We have demonstrated that Stanwick does not have a local housing need as indicated in several higher framework policies..

2.2.5, 2.4.7 ENC have demonstrated a 5 year housing supply in appeal

2.2.6, 3.2.4, 3.2.5 This could be addressed by adding somewhere relevant words to the effect of *“Should National and Local Planning policies be changed in the future this document may be reviewed in light of those changes”*. In other words, as planning polices stand at the moment Stanwick does not have a need for housing reserve sites but may in the future.

2.4.3, 2.4.4, 4.2.2, 4.3.4 The Neighbourhood plan makes it clear that it will support development where it meets a proven local need.

3.1.1 Oh dear, I assume they mean Wellingborough, not Wellington which I think is in Liverpool.

4.3.1 I am pleased that they agree that the views of the community should respected and reflected.

4.4.1 If this is so, why did ENC not indicate that the Joint Core Strategy is not the document to take guidance from? However, similar guidance is given in other documents as far as I can see.

4.4.1 Housing Mix Assessment. Their comment misses out part of the statement made by ENC in their Housing Mix Assessment ; it should say *“.....there is a demonstrable need for additional **smaller** dwellings in Stanwick Ward”*. The need for such smaller dwellings are likely to be met within the NP policy of small developments of no more than 5 dwellings.

4.4.5, 4.4.6, 4.4.7 The ENC Housing Mix survey has only identified small (affordable?) housing as being required and many policies indicate that development in rural areas will only be supported where there is a proven need. There is no proven need for anything other than small (affordable?) housing and the NP Housing policy HSG1 states that: *“New development in Stanwick will be delivered, where necessary, to meet proven need in accordance with the rural housing requirements set out in the Local Plan and will reflect any deficit identified in the Housing Mix report.”* But, as also stated in the NP, the Local Plan for Stanwick states that *“..... if a locally arising housing need has been identified, where possible this should “be met more sustainably at a nearby larger settlement”.”*

4.4.8 A settlement area map is a good idea.

4.4.10 ENC seemed to support this figure of no more than 5 dwellings.

4.4.12 The NP parking policy TRA4 is largely in accordance with the County Council's parking space guidelines with the exception of providing 3 spaces for 3 bedroom houses (NCC suggest 2 spaces for 3 bed houses). The Highways Agency did not make any comment on this.